

United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eighth Circuit

---

No. 17-1974

---

United States of America

*Plaintiff - Appellee*

v.

Charles Johnson

*Defendant - Appellant*

---

Appeal from United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

---

Submitted: February 6, 2018

Filed: February 9, 2018

[Unpublished]

---

Before BENTON, MURPHY, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Charles Johnson appeals the within-Guidelines-range sentence the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed after he pled guilty to conspiring to interfere with commerce by

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Catherine D. Perry, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

robbery, and brandishing a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), discussing the procedural and substantive reasonableness of the sentence.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a procedurally or substantively unreasonable sentence. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 460-61 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (reviewing sentence under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard; discussing procedural and substantive reasonableness); see also United States v. Callaway, 762 F.3d 754, 760 (8th Cir. 2014) (within-Guidelines-range sentence is presumed reasonable). In addition, we have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm.

---